

#### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Allows Commission NEED FLATERITS AND THATE MAJRAMAN www.isprogram

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR A FIORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO APPLICATION NO FILING DATE 4653 07.30.2001 INTL-0618-US (P11949) 09.918,404 Lawrence A. Booth JR.

01/13/2003

Timothy N. Trop TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C. STE 100 8554 KATY FWY HOUSTON, TX 77024-1805

EXAMINER MACCHIAROLO, PETER J ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2875 DATE MAILED: 01-13-2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Anglia	ation N-			
			Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary			09/918.404		BOOTH ET AL	
	and a summary	Exami		Art Un	Art Unit	
Th	e MAILING DATE of this commun	Peter J	Macchiarolo	2875		
Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this commun ply	reation appears on	ine cover shee.	t with the correspo	ndence address	
- Extensions after SIX:16 - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re - Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI of time may be available under the provisions i MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 for reply is specified above, the maximum sta- ply within the set or extended period for reply ceived by the Office later than three months a int term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b)	of 37 CFR 1 136(a). In no nunication 0) days, a reply within the s atutory period will apply an	event however may statutory minimum of d will expire StX (6) N	r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be col IONTHS from the mailing	nsidered timely date of this communication	
	sponsive to communication(s) file	ed on				
		2b)⊠ This action	is non final			
clos Disposition of	ce this application is in condition sed in accordance with the pract f Claims	ice under <i>Ex parte</i>	ept for formal n Quayle, 1935 (	natters, prosecutio C.D. 11, 453 O.G.	n as to the merits is 213.	
4)⊠ Clair	n(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the a	application.				
	of the above claim(s) is/ar		onsideration.			
	n(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Clain	n(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.					
	n(s) <u>7-9</u> is/are objected to.					
	n(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election	requirement			
pplication Pa	pers		roquironnent.			
9)∏ The s	pecification is objected to by the	Examiner.				
10) 🖸 The dr	rawing(s) filed on 30 July 2001 is	s/are: a)⊡ accepted	or b) \subsect objecte	d to by the Examir	ner	
Appl	icant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s	) be held in abe	vance See 37 CER	? 1.85(a)	
11) ☐ The pr	oposed drawing correction filed	on is: a) 🗌 :	approved b)	disapproved by the	e Examiner	
If app	proved, corrected drawings are requ	uired in reply to this C	office action.	,		
12) The oa	ith or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner.				
riority under	35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Ackno	owledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f)	1	
a) 🗌 All	b) Some * c) None of:					
1.	Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have bee	n received.			
	Certified copies of the priority do			Application No		
3.	Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internat	the priority documional Bureau (PCT	ents have beer Rule 17 2(a))	received in this N		
See the	attached detailed Office action to	for a list of the cert	fied copies not	received.		
14) Acknow	ledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority u	nder 35 U.S.C	§ 119(e) (to a pro	visional application)	
a) [_] Th 15)[_] Acknow	e translation of the foreign langu ledgment is made of a claim for	iage provisional ap domestic priority u	plication has b nder 35 U S.C	een received. - §§ 120 and/or 12	1	
achment(s)		. , , .	2 2 0	33 - 20 GHG/01 - F2		
Notice of Draft	rences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	-948) er No(s)	4) Interview 5) Notice of 6 Other	Summary (PTO-413) F Informal Patent Applica	Paper Nots	
atentiand Trademark Of -326 Rev 04-01:	( e	Office Action Summa				

Application Control Number: 09/918,404

Art Unit: 2875

## DETAILED ACTION

### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: 18. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Objections

2. Claims 7-9 are objected to because of the following informalities: The claims depend on claim 1, and thereby do not further limit the parent claim. The Examiner is interpreting this to be a typographical error and the intended dependency is on Claim 6. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

Application Control Number: 09 918.404

Art Unit: 2875

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e). (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inohara et al. (USPN 4.357.557) in view of Matsuura et al. (USPN 6.498,428).

In regards to claims 1, 6, and 11, Inohara discloses in figure 7, an EL display panel and module, comprising a front plate (1) having an EL material formed on one side thereof, a back plate (11) secured over the one side of the front plate, and a filler material (13) including a desiccant (silica gel particles) mixed into the filler material to seal the region between the front and back plates.

Inohara is silent to the light emitting material being organic.

However, Matsuura discloses in figure 1, an organic light emitting display comprising a front plate (2) having an organic light emitting material (5) formed on one side thereof.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace Inohara's EL material with Matsuura's organic light emitting material with the method recited in claim 11, since it is well known in the art that organic light emitting material can be manufactured thinner and therefore, can be made and lighter and more compact, and this corresponds to a strategic advantage in certain markets. Further, the method recited in claim 11 is well known and obvious.

Application Control Number: 09 918,404

Art Unit: 2875

In regards to claims 2 and 13, Inohara and Matsuura teach all of the recited limitations claims 1 and 11 (above).

Inohara further teaches in figure 7, the display includes a plurality of light emitting modules which form an array, each including a front plate (6) and a back plate (3) and filler material including a desiceant (silica gel particles) provided between the modules (13 between the front plates 6).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct an organic light emitting display according to claim 1 with the method of claim 11 (above), further wherein the display includes a plurality of modules, each including a front plate and a back plate and filler material provided between the modules, since Inohara teaches this configuration completely removes moisture from the surroundings of the EL display panel.

In regards to claims 3, 5, 7, 9, 12, and 15, Inohara and Matsuura teach all of the recited limitations claims 1, 6, and 11 (above).

Inohara further teaches in column 2 lines 19-28, that the filler material includes silica gel particles as a desiccant, and this configuration protects the EL element from damaging moisture. Inohara further teaches in column 2 lines 29-36, that the spacers, which have been bonded together by an adhesive (epoxy), are soaked in a suitable filler material (silica gel particles mixed into silicon oil) and then heated.

Inohara is silent to the filler material containing epoxy.

Application Control Number: 09 918,404

Art Unit: 2875

However, it can be seen that some epoxy will propagate into the filler material an assembly step.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct an organic light emitting display according to claim 1 with the method of claim 11 (above), further wherein the desiceant is a silica, and further wherein the filler material includes epoxy, since Inohara teaches this configuration protects the EL element from moisture.

In regards to claims 4, 8, and 14, Inohara and Matsuura teach all of the recited limitations of claims 1, 6, and 11 (above).

Matsuura further teaches in column 8 lines 22-25 that preferred moisture absorbing polymers for an organic light emitting displays are, among others, silica gel and zeolite.

Both Inohara and Matsuura are silent to motivate one to use the desiceant zeolite.

However, it is well known to those skilled in the art that zeolite absorbs more moisture in a low relative humidity environment than silica gel.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct an organic light emitting display according to claim 1 with the method of claim 11 (above), further wherein the desiceant is zeolite since it is known to those skilled in the art that zeolite absorbs moisture better in a low relative humidity environment than silica gel.

Application Control Number: 09 918,404

Art Unit: 2875

In regards to claim 10, Inohara and Matsuura teach all of the recited limitations claim 6 (above).

Matsuura further teaches in figure 1 that the organic light emitting material is deposited on the one side of the front plate (2).

Matsuura is silent to the exact reason for this configuration.

However, it is well known in the art that this configuration will allow a protective barrier to be formed around the organic light emitting material, which will allow the organic electroluminescent element to function properly.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct a display according to claim 6 (above), further wherein the organic light emitting material is deposited on the one side of the front plate, since it is well known that this configuration will allow the organic electroluminescent element to function properly.

#### Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Examiner notes that in the instant Application, claims 1.6, and 11 are written so broadly, that Ishihara et al. (USPN 5.632.663) may be interpreted to read on the claims.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter J Macchiarolo whose telephone number is (703) 305-7198. The examiner can normally be reached on 7.30 4:30, M-F.

Art Unit: 2875

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (703) 305-4939. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

pjm January 7, 2003

and a file